

In-Person Engagement Session Feedback

Option 1: 100% Park Space

Likes	Dislikes	Improvements	Additional Feedback
Yes 100%	Nothing	Perhaps a space for a “dog park” where dogs can be off leash	The residents aren’t asking for much, just a space
This is the way you should go. Less park land takes away from the community	Safety Concerns: Vandalism, not policed, unleashed dogs, ATVs damaging land + brook etc + unsafe	More infrastructure (benches, trails , dog area)	Only a small amount of people use it as a park because it isn’t really a park
It’s the only option. Residents of Salmon river deserve a space	Safety Issues, vandalism, unleashed animals on other public property	Trails improved, that is all	This is the option that we prefer, a park for walking
I think we need to keep our greenspace. Keep our sense of community	More development needed	Fenced and policed	There still quite a bit of fence left that can be used, also add more stuff to Nancy park
We need more greenspace in salmon river	Nothing	We need more benches at the park, a water fountain, a few lights at night	
This park will give us a greenspace to find peace and quiet and peace of mind		Listen to the residents who attended the meeting and want 100% park space	
The community doesn’t lose green space, and that I don’t travel 20 minutes to go to a park.		Dog park, swings, groomed walking trails	
100% park space			

Option 2: 60% Park Space + 40% Housing Development

Likes	Dislikes	Improvements	Additional Feedback
No	The housing, where is parking?	Remove housing	Have there been any impact studies on how a dwelling development will impact water/sewer/traffic?
Nothing	Still too dense, if this is multi-family, the result could be a less than desirable neighbourhood	Would love as many trees left as possible	Lets be honest, any park space would be used solely for the residents of the new housing units
Sledding hill preserved. Housing would provide policing to make a safe area	Housing shouldn't be an option	Use Nancy park to make a greater park	Leave it to the community to protect the area
Needed housing will be provided and park will be maintained	We would lose our greenspace. The space would belong to the residents of the housing units. Loss of our community spirit		
Nothing	This destroys a peaceful greenspace		
It does provide security for the area	Possibility to lose the park to community that's put in		
I don't like it	I feel like once people move into the homes (if built) it will be as if "we" who use the park, will be invading the occupants pace and then if there is an issue "we" will not be able to use the space! Same with option 3		

Option 3: 40% Park Space + 60% Housing Development

Likes	Dislikes	Improvements	Additional Feedback
No	Housing, no parking	Remove housing	Has anybody done a study on how this will affect water/sewer/traffic infrastructure? Where there is no water service, how many wells are you planning to drill?
Nothing, density seems too high for land	Too dense. I'm concerned that infrastructure will not support the number of dwellings	No development whatsoever	Why housing here? All the open space in salmon rive? It would become a park for the residents
Nothing	The housing	Remove the houses	Don't put a condo in, will ruin the greenspace
	Everything		
Sledding Hill and greenspace remaining, much needed housing	More trees to remain		
Park space remains, structure is already there, stable single family housing is a good staple, park will be maintained and policed	It is destroying our peaceful place to find solace		
Nothing	All of it, we lose our greenspace		
Nothing			
I don't like it			